IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 924 OF 2006

Mr. Govind Babu Agarwal .. Petitioner.

V/s.

State of Maharashtra & Anr. .. Respondents.

Mr. S.R. Ganbawle, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mrs. A.S. Pai, Addl. P.P. for the State.

Mr. I.R. Joshi, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : D. G. DESHPANDE
S. A. BOBDE, JJ.

DATED : 02nd AUGUST, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner- and Respondent No.2, who is present-in-person as well as through his Advocate.

2. Earlier, Criminal Writ Petition No. 515/1998 was filed by the petitioner wherein this court passed an order on 4th of June, 2003, taking note of the settlement of the matter out of court and accordingly that petition was disposed of. The learned Advocate for the petitioner, however, states that even though that petition was disposed of as settled out of the court but the offence under the provisions of Schedule Caste and

Schedule Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 remains against the petitioner and therefore, the petitioner has filed this present petition.

3. Now the Respondent No.2, on the basis of whose complaint F.I.R.No. 30/1998 was registered against the petitioner under the provisions of the Schedule Caste and Schedule Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, is in-person present in the court and says that now he has no grievance against the petitioner and that his claim is fully satisfied. He further says that now he has no objection of whatsoever nature for quashing and setting aside the F.I.R. against the petitioner and that he does not wish to prosecute the matter and the same is amicably settled. In view of this positive and clear statement of Respondent No.2 and to meet the ends of justice, this petition deserves to be allowed.

4. In view of this, we allow this writ petition in terms of prayer clause (A). The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(D.G.DESHPANDE,J)

(S.A. BOBDE, J)

.....